LEAR Asset Management

Threat Intelligence Dossier: Lear Asset Management

Subject: Lear Asset Management

Date: February 24, 2025

Threat Profile: Lear Asset Management, a private security firm owned by Paul Trouette, presents a potential threat due to its involvement in a recent public assault incident and the opaque nature of its operations. While the company claims expertise in threat assessment and mitigation, its actions at a political event suggest a potential for excessive force, disregard for civil liberties, and a lack of transparency.

Key Indicators:

- **Involvement in Public Assault:** Lear Asset Management personnel were identified as the individuals who forcibly removed a woman from a public town hall meeting for heckling legislators. This action raises concerns about their use of force and adherence to legal boundaries.
- **Lack of Transparency:** Despite confirmation of their involvement by the Coeur d'Alene Police Chief, both the event organizers and Kootenai County Sheriff Bob Norris disclaimed any knowledge of hiring the firm. This lack of transparency raises questions about who contracted their services and the scope of their authority.
- **Vague Service Descriptions:** Lear Asset Management's website uses vague language about "high-risk security solutions" and "specialized security personnel for special clients." This ambiguity makes it difficult to assess the full range of their capabilities and potential clients.
- **Emphasis on "Mindset of the Threat":** The company's focus on identifying and mitigating the "mindset of the threat" could be interpreted as a justification for aggressive tactics and potential overreach.
- **Paul Trouette's Silence:** The owner's refusal to comment on the incident exacerbates concerns about accountability and transparency.

Potential Threats:

- **Excessive Force/Violation of Civil Liberties:** The assault incident suggests a potential for excessive force and disregard for individuals' rights to free speech and assembly.
- **Lack of Accountability:** The unclear chain of command and the refusal of involved parties to take responsibility makes it difficult to hold the company accountable for its actions.
- **Potential for Misuse:** The company's services could be misused by clients seeking to suppress dissent or intimidate opponents.

- **Escalation of Conflict:** The company's focus on threat assessment and mitigation could lead to escalation of conflict rather than de-escalation, especially in politically charged environments.

Recommendations:

- **Further Investigation:** A thorough investigation is needed to determine who hired Lear Asset Management for the town hall event, the specific instructions given to their personnel, and whether their actions were justified.
- **Increased Scrutiny:** Lear Asset Management's activities and client base should be subject to increased scrutiny to ensure compliance with relevant laws and regulations.
- **Transparency and Accountability:** The company should be required to disclose its clients and the scope of its services to ensure transparency and accountability.
- **Community Awareness:** The public should be made aware of the company's involvement in the assault incident and the potential risks associated with their services.

Disclaimer: This dossier is based on publicly available information and is intended for informational purposes only. It does not constitute a definitive assessment of Lear Asset Management's intentions or the legality of their actions. Further investigation is necessary to fully assess the potential threat posed by this company.